



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,755	06/30/2003	Michael F. Ludwig	BO1-0055US	5039
60483	7590	12/26/2007	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC			PYO, MONICA M	
421 W. RIVERSIDE AVE.				
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPOKANE, WA 99201			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/611,755

Applicant(s)

LUDWIG ET AL.

Examiner

Monica M. Pyo

Art Unit

2161

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Monica M. Pyo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Rustan Hill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,701,514 issued to Haswell et al. (hereinafter Haswell).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argues regarding claim 1 that Haswell's database does not contain "project specifications" and that there is no communication between the "ReTA component Test Workbook Plan-Prep Worksheets and the database at step 404." The Examiner explained the teachings of Haswell from col. 15, lines 18-27 (i.e., test criteria) and from col. 73, lines 30-36 (i.e., the mechanism for maintaining and communicating component test information).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ETIENNE LEROUX  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required